

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access



Outcome prediction for hypothermic patients in cardiac arrest

Mathieu Pasquier^{1*} , Olivier Hugli¹, Nicolas Hall¹, Valentin Rousson² and Tomasz Darocha³

Abstract

The 5A score predicts in-hospital mortality of patients suffering from accidental hypothermia, including those not in cardiac arrest. The HOPE score was specifically developed to predict survival for the subgroup of hypothermic patients in cardiac arrest considered for extracorporeal life support rewarming. The C-statistic in the external validation study of the HOPE score was 0.825 (95% CI: 0.753–0.897), confirming its excellent discrimination. In addition, its good calibration allows for a reliable interpretation of the corresponding survival probability after rewarming. The HOPE score should be used for predicting outcome and selecting hypothermic patients in cardiac arrest for rewarming.

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the article on the external validation of the 5A score to predict in-hospital mortality of accidental hypothermia patients and published by Okada et al. [1]. We would like to comment on some of their claims made about two studies they recently published and pertaining to the HOPE (Hypothermia Outcome Prediction after ECLS rewarming) score, which predicts survival for hypothermic patients in cardiac arrest if offered extracorporeal life support (ECLS) rewarming [2].

As a first strength, the authors stated that the 5A score was the first externally validated prediction model for use in patients with accidental hypothermia. It is true that in general, predictive models are rarely subject to external validation studies, and the authors are to be commended for the validation of their 5A score [3]. However, their statement is incorrect. The HOPE score was not only internally validated using bootstrapping, but also by an external validation study with 122 patients, including 49 further additional unpublished hospital cases

[2, 4]. Contrary to the authors' claim, the HOPE score was not only developed using patients reported in case report format, but also included 49 unpublished hospital cases. Furthermore, like in the study of Okada et al., we included only studies with consecutive patients, in order to minimize the risk of inclusion bias.

The C-statistic obtained in the validation study for the 5A score was 0.736 (95% CI: 0.699–0.772). It was inferior to the value reported in the external validation study of the HOPE score: 0.825 (95% CI: 0.753–0.897), confirming the HOPE score excellent discrimination. The calibration of the HOPE score in the validation study was also good, allowing for a reliable interpretation of the corresponding HOPE in-hospital survival probability after ECLS rewarming. No additional categorization of mortality risk is required, unlike in the interpretation of the 5A score. The HOPE score is now used in clinical practice, to select patients in hypothermic cardiac arrest at hospital admission for further resuscitation by ECLS methods. Its validity has been acknowledged, and it is now part of the decision algorithm for cardiac arrest due to accidental hypothermia published in the 2021 ERC guidelines [5].

The derivation study of the 5A score included 74/532 (13.9%) patients with "Near cardiac arrest (CA)" and only 20/532 (3.8%) who underwent VA-ECMO [6]. The latter proportion is far smaller than in the HOPE derivation study, which included 286 patients undergoing ECLS

*Correspondence: Mathieu.Pasquier@chuv.ch

¹ Department of Emergency Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



rewarming [2]. In addition, only 0.5% of patients had outdoor exposure as the primary cause of hypothermia in the validation study of the 5A score, while 74% presented with hypothermia in an indoor setting. These figures suggest an underlying medical conditions as the triggering event leading to hypothermia [1]. Patients' body temperatures in the 5A study ranged between 28 and 32.7 °C. In the external validation of HOPE, patients' core temperatures were much lower, between 22 and 27 °C. Their hypothermia was secondary to outdoor exposure, avalanche accident, immersion or submersion in most cases. The populations therefore differed significantly between the two scores, thus the respective scores must be applied to patients similar to those from which the scores were derived.

The outcomes were also different: overall in-hospital mortality for mildly hypothermic patients for the 5A score and in-hospital mortality after ECLS rewarming for severely hypothermic in cardiac arrest for the HOPE score. The high-risk in-hospital mortality subgroup included in the derivation study of the 5A score represents precisely the target group for the HOPE score.

Clinicians may use the 5A and the HOPE scores as complementary rather than exclusive, as they clearly target different populations. In the specific case of hypothermic CA, the HOPE score should be used for predicting in-hospital mortality following ECLS rewarming.

Acknowledgements

None.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the drafting and reviewing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

Not relevant.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not relevant.

Consent for publication

Not relevant.

Competing interests

No competing financial interests exist for any of the authors.

Author details

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. ²Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Unisanté, route de la Corniche 10, 1010 Lausanne, Switzerland.

³Severe Accidental Hypothermia Center, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland.

Received: 24 June 2022 Accepted: 21 July 2022
Published online: 28 July 2022

References

- Okada Y, Matsuyama T, Hayashida K, Takauji S, Kanda J, Yokobori S. External validation of 5A score model for predicting in-hospital mortality among the accidental hypothermia patients: JAAM-Hypothermia study 2018–2019 secondary analysis. *J Intensive Care*. 2022;10:24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-022-00616-5>.
- Pasquier M, Rousson V, Darocha T, Bouzat P, Kosiński S, Sawamoto K, et al. Hypothermia outcome prediction after extracorporeal life support for hypothermic cardiac arrest patients: an external validation of the HOPE score. *Resuscitation*. 2019;139:321–8.
- Siontis GC, Tzoulaki I, Castaldi PJ, Ioannidis JP. External validation of new risk prediction models is infrequent and reveals worse prognostic discrimination. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2015;68:25–34.
- Pasquier M, Hugli O, Paal P, Darocha T, Blancher M, Husby P, et al. Hypothermia outcome prediction after extracorporeal life support for hypothermic cardiac arrest patients: The HOPE score. *Resuscitation*. 2018;126:58–64.
- Lott C, Truhlář A, Alfonso A, Barelli A, González-Salvado V, Hinkelbein J, et al., ERC Special Circumstances Writing Group Collaborators. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Cardiac arrest in special circumstances. *Resuscitation*. 2021;161:152–219.
- Okada Y, Matsuyama T, Morita S, Ehara N, Miyamae N, Jo T, Sumida Y, Okada N, Watanabe M, Nozawa M, Tsuruoka A, Fujimoto Y, Okumura Y, Kitamura T, Yamamoto S, Iiduka R, Koike K. The development and validation of a "5A" severity scale for predicting in-hospital mortality after accidental hypothermia from J-point registry data (Erratum: *J Intensive Care*. 2019;7:1). *J Intensive Care*. 2019;3(7):27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-019-0384-2>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

