

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



# Serum thymidine kinase 1 activity as a pharmacodynamic marker of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibition in patients with early-stage breast cancer receiving neoadjuvant palbociclib

Nusayba Bagegni<sup>1†</sup>, Shana Thomas<sup>1†</sup>, Ning Liu<sup>1†</sup>, Jingqin Luo<sup>1</sup>, Jeremy Hoog<sup>1</sup>, Donald W. Northfelt<sup>2</sup>, Matthew P. Goetz<sup>3</sup>, Andres Forero<sup>4</sup>, Mattias Bergqvist<sup>5</sup>, Jakob Karen<sup>6</sup>, Magnus Neumüller<sup>5</sup>, Edward M. Suh<sup>5</sup>, Zhanfang Guo<sup>1</sup>, Kiran Vij<sup>1</sup>, Souzan Sanati<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Ellis<sup>7</sup> and Cynthia X. Ma<sup>1\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Thymidine kinase 1 (TK1) is a cell cycle-regulated enzyme with peak expression in the S phase during DNA synthesis, and it is an attractive biomarker of cell proliferation. Serum TK1 activity has demonstrated prognostic value in patients with early-stage breast cancer. Because cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitors prevent G<sub>1</sub>/S transition, we hypothesized that serum TK1 could be a biomarker for CDK4/6 inhibitors. We examined the drug-induced change in serum TK1 as well as its correlation with change in tumor Ki-67 levels in patients enrolled in the NeoPalAna trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01723774).

**Methods:** Patients with clinical stage II/III estrogen receptor-positive (ER+)/HER2-negative breast cancer enrolled in the NeoPalAna trial received an initial 4 weeks of anastrozole, followed by palbociclib on cycle 1, day 1 (C1D1) for four 28-day cycles, unless C1D15 tumor Ki-67 was > 10%, in which case patients went off study owing to inadequate response. Surgery occurred following 3–5 weeks of washout from the last dose of palbociclib, except in eight patients who received palbociclib (cycle 5) continuously until surgery. Serum TK1 activity was determined at baseline, C1D1, C1D15, and time of surgery, and we found that it was correlated with tumor Ki-67 and TK1 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels.

(Continued on next page)

\* Correspondence: [cynthiama@wustl.edu](mailto:cynthiama@wustl.edu)

†Equal contributors

<sup>1</sup>Division of Oncology, Section of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



(Continued from previous page)

**Results:** Despite a significant drop in tumor Ki-67 with anastrozole monotherapy, there was no statistically significant change in TK1 activity. However, a striking reduction in TK1 activity was observed 2 weeks after initiation of palbociclib (C1D15), which then rose significantly with palbociclib washout. At C1D15, TK1 activity was below the detection limit (<20 DiviTum units per liter Du/L) in 92% of patients, indicating a profound effect of palbociclib. There was high concordance, at 89.8% (95% CI: 79.2% - 96.2%), between changes in serum TK1 and tumor Ki-67 in the same direction from C1D1 to C1D15 and from C1D15 to surgery time points. The sensitivity and specificity for the tumor Ki-67-based response by palbociclib-induced decrease in serum TK1 were 94.1% (95% CI 86.2% - 100%) and 84% (95% CI 69.6% - 98.4%), respectively. The  $\kappa$ -statistic was 0.76 ( $p < 0.001$ ) between TK1 and Ki-67, indicating substantial agreement.

**Conclusions:** Serum TK1 activity is a promising pharmacodynamic marker of palbociclib in ER+ breast cancer, and its value in predicting response to CDK4/6 inhibitors warrants further investigation.

**Trial registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01723774. Registered on 6 November 2012.

**Keywords:** Breast cancer, Thymidine kinase, Palbociclib, Anastrozole, Neoadjuvant, Biomarker

## Background

Cytosolic thymidine kinase 1 (TK1) is a well-known cell cycle-regulated enzyme important for nucleotide metabolism during DNA synthesis [1]. TK1 catalyzes the conversion of thymidine to deoxythymidine monophosphate, which is further phosphorylated to di- and triphosphates preceding its incorporation into DNA. The activity of TK1 is low or absent in resting cells, increasing in the G<sub>1</sub>/S transition and peaking in the S phase, and then disappearing during mitosis [2–5]. Whereas serum TK1 activity is elevated in cancer patients compared with healthy individuals and prognostic in patients with breast and other cancers [6–11], in very few studies have researchers evaluated the utility of serum TK1 for monitoring responses to cancer therapy.

Inhibitors against cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) are an important new class of agents with substantial antitumor activity in patients with advanced hormone receptor-positive (HR+) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (HER2-) breast cancer [12–16]. These agents inhibit cell proliferation by activation of retinoblastoma protein, which binds to E2F transcription factors, leading to G<sub>0</sub>/G<sub>1</sub> arrest [17, 18]. The preferential activity of CDK4/6 inhibitors in luminal or HR+ disease is due to the direct link between estrogen receptor (ER) signaling and CDK4/6 activation, because cyclin D is a direct transcription target of ER and other mitogenic signals associated with endocrine resistance [17, 18].


The potent antiproliferative effect of CDK4/6 inhibition in ER+ breast cancer was demonstrated by tumor Ki-67 analysis in serial biopsies in the NeoPalAna trial (a phase II trial of anastrozole and palbociclib, a CDK4/6 inhibitor, in women with clinical stage II-III ER+/HER2- breast cancer), in which the addition of palbociclib to anastrozole induced complete cell cycle arrest (Ki-67  $\leq$  2.7%) in 87% of patients, as compared with 26% following single-agent anastrozole treatment [19]. Because TK1 is a direct E2F transcription

target and is strictly cell cycle-regulated, we hypothesized that changes in levels of TK1 activity before and after administration of a CDK4/6 inhibitor would indicate successful inhibition of CDK4/6, and also that serum TK1 activity could serve as a noninvasive surrogate marker of antitumor activity of CDK4/6 inhibition. The serum samples collected before and after palbociclib in the NeoPalAna trial provide an ideal sample set to test this hypothesis. The objectives of this study were to compare TK1 activity in serum collected before and after anastrozole and palbociclib, and to correlate serum TK1 activity with tumor Ki-67 proliferation index and tumor TK1 mRNA levels in patients with early-stage ER+/HER2- breast cancer enrolled in the NeoPalAna trial.

## Methods

### NeoPalAna trial patient population and study procedures

The NeoPalAna trial is a neoadjuvant phase II trial of palbociclib, a CDK4/6 inhibitor, and anastrozole for clinical stage II or III ER+/HER2- breast cancer, with the primary endpoint of complete cell cycle arrest (Ki-67  $\leq$  2.7%) with the combination of anastrozole and palbociclib. The patient population and trial results were described in our previous publication [19]. As illustrated in Fig. 1, patients received anastrozole 1 mg orally daily for one 28-day cycle (cycle 0, C0), followed by addition of palbociclib 125 mg orally daily (days 1–21 of each 28-day cycle) starting with cycle 1, day 1 (C1D1), for four cycles, unless cycle 1, day 15 (C1D15), tumor Ki-67 was > 10%, in which case patients were taken off study owing to inadequate response. Pre- and perimenopausal women received goserelin 3.6 mg subcutaneously every 28 days. Anastrozole was continued until surgery, occurring 3–5 weeks after palbociclib exposure, except in eight patients for whom an additional 10–12 days of palbociclib (cycle 5) was administered until surgery, following the four cycles of combination therapy. Serial



biopsies and blood collections were obtained at baseline (prior to C0), C1D1, C1D15, and time of surgery. Tumor biopsies were centrally analyzed for tumor Ki-67 level using pathologist-guided image analysis [20]. In this trial, we enrolled 50 patients (18 premenopausal and 32 postmenopausal) (Table 1) with a median age of 58 (range 34–79) years, and demonstrated the potent antiproliferative effect of palbociclib in ER+/HER2- breast cancer, even among patients resistant to anastrozole [19]. This trial provided an appropriate sample set to correlate serum TK1 activity with palbociclib treatment and tumor Ki-67 response. TK mRNA levels were derived from microarray analysis of tumor RNA (Agilent Genomics, Santa Clara, CA, USA) [19]. Serum TK1 activity was determined at study enrollment (baseline, C0D1), C1D1, C1D15, and time of surgery.

#### DiviTum™ assay for serum TK1 activity measurement

The DiviTum™ assay (Biovica International, Uppsala, Sweden) was used for determination of serum enzymatic activity of TK1 according to the manufacturer's instructions (<http://biovica.com/>), as previously described [21]. When serum is mixed with the reaction mixture in a 96-well enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) titer plate, bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) monophosphate is generated by TK reaction, which is further phosphorylated to BrdU triphosphate and incorporated into a DNA strand bound to the bottom of the well in the microtiter plate. BrdU incorporation is then detected by

ELISA using an anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody conjugated to enzyme alkaline phosphatase and a chromogenic substrate, producing the optical density of the color. The absorbance readings to DiviTum units per liter (Du/L) are converted using the values from standards with known TK activity, with a working range from 20 to 4000 Du/L. The analyses were performed at the Biovica laboratory in Uppsala, Sweden, and investigators were blinded to patient or tumor data.

#### In vitro cell culture experiment for effect of palbociclib on intracellular TKA

The human cell line K562S (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was seeded into T25 flasks (3 million cells/flask) containing RPMI 1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 U/ml streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and treated with palbociclib (0.1 nM to 100 μM; Selleckchem, Houston, TX, USA) for 6 h. Cells were then harvested for determination of cell viability by trypan blue viability assay or lysed for intracellular TK activity by DiviTum assay.

#### Statistical analysis

Box plots were generated to demonstrate tumor Ki-67 and TK1 mRNA by time point in all patients. Line plots displayed the levels of serum TK1 activity and Ki-67 by time point in patients in three tumor Ki-67 response categories. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for

**Table 1** Patient characteristics ( $n = 50$ )

Characteristics	Data
Age, years, median (range)	58 (34–79)
Race	
White	47 (94%)
Black	3 (6%)
Menopausal status	
Premenopausal	18 (36%)
Postmenopausal	32 (64%)
PgR	
Negative	3 (6%)
Positive	47 (94%)
Tumor grade	
1	12 (24%)
2	31 (62%)
3	7 (14%)
Clinical stage	
II	36 (72%)
III	14 (28%)

PgR - Progesterone

comparison between time points of serum TK1 activity, tumor Ki-67 index, or tumor TK1 mRNA level. A value of 20 Du/L was used to impute the measurements of TK1 under the detection limit of 20 Du/L for statistical analysis. The subject-level bivariate correlation coefficient (BCC) between serum TK1 and tumor Ki-67 (in logarithmic scale) was calculated using the Bland-Altman method [22], a meta-analysis approach, and the bivariate linear mixed effects model [23]. The concordance of serum TK1 activity change and tumor Ki-67 level change was evaluated by calculating the sensitivity and specificity of decrease in TK1 for predicting decrease in tumor Ki-67 using data at C1D1, C1D15, and time of definitive surgery, excluding the data of the eight patients who were additionally treated with cycle 5. Noncomparable data, such as undetectable TK1 activity at both time points, was also excluded. All tests were two-sided, and significance was set at a 5%  $\alpha$  level. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.3.2 software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

## Results


### Preclinical data indicating CDK4/6 inhibition reduces intracellular TK1 activity in a dose-dependent manner

To assess the effect of CDK4/6 inhibition on intracellular TK1 activity, the human cell line K562S was cultured in the presence of increasing concentrations of palbociclib (0.1 nM to 10  $\mu$ M) for 6 h and harvested for Divi-Tum analysis. Cell viability was also examined using


trypan blue at the same time. As shown in Fig. 2, TK1 activity was reduced in a linear and dose-dependent manner in response to palbociclib with the short duration (6 h) of drug exposure, when the effect on cell viability had not yet become obvious.

### CDK4/6 inhibition reduced serum TK1 activity in NeoPalAna trial

To determine whether serum TK1 activity could serve as a surrogate marker for CDK4/6 inhibition and tumor cell proliferation in patients receiving CDK4/6 inhibitors, we analyzed the sample set collected from patients with clinical stage II-III ER+/HER2- breast cancer who received neoadjuvant anastrozole and palbociclib in the NeoPalAna trial [19]. As shown in Fig. 3 and Table 2, there was no statistically significant difference in TK1 activity between baseline and C1D1 following 28 days of anastrozole monotherapy (median serum TK activity was 46 versus 42.55 Du/L, respectively;  $p = 0.52$ ), despite a significant reduction in tumor Ki-67 index, as well as, a reduction in tumor TK mRNA level. In contrast, a striking decline in TK activity was observed 2 weeks after initiation of palbociclib (C1D15), with a median serum TK activity of less than 20 Du/L, ( $p < 0.001$ ); the serum TK activity was below the detection limit of 20 Du/L in 92% (44 of 48) of patients. The remaining four participants had serum TK activity of 24, 26, 26, and 58 Du/L, respectively. This indicates a profound on-target inhibitory effect induced by palbociclib. Following palbociclib withdrawal, the median serum TK level increased significantly from C1D15 to surgery (143.96 Du/L at surgery), indicating recovery of CDK4/6 inhibition, with a similar rebound in tumor Ki-67 observed at the time of surgery. When an additional 10–12 days of palbociclib was given (cycle 5) to eight patients prior to surgery,



**Fig. 2** Dose-dependent reduction of thymidine kinase 1 (TK1) activity in response to cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibition in vitro. K562S cells were treated for 6 h with palbociclib at the indicated concentrations, followed by analysis of cell viability and TK1 activity. TK1 activity was reduced in a linear and dose-dependent manner in response to palbociclib prior to changes in cell viability. Du/L Divi-Tum units per liter



the serum TK level remained suppressed at the time of surgery at a level similar to C1D15 (median serum TK activity < 20 Du/L;  $p = 0.7893$ ). Collectively, these data indicate that serum TK activity could serve as a pharmacodynamic marker of CDK4/6 inhibition.

**Serum TK activity in response to palbociclib by tumor Ki-67 response category**

To dissect the interaction between serum TK1 activity in tumors with varying responses to palbociclib, we analyzed the TK1 data by tumor Ki-67 response category

**Table 2** Thymidine kinase 1 activity, Ki-67 and thymidine kinase messenger RNA over time

Time point	Serum TK1		Tumor Ki-67		Tumor TK1 mRNA	
	Median (IQR) (Du/L) (number of cases < 20 Du/L)	No. of participants	Median (IQR) (%)	No. of participants	Median (IQR) (%)	No. of participants
Baseline (C0D1)	46 (25–73) (9 cases < 20 Du/L)	48	24.34% (12.91–34.87%)	45	0.315 (–0.178 to 1.128%)	16
Cycle 1, day 1 (C1D1)	42.55 (29–94.6) (8 cases < 20 Du/L)	49	5.37% (2.52–13.51%) <sup>a</sup>	45	–0.42 (–1.19 to 0.47%) <sup>b</sup>	33
Cycle 1, day 15 (C1D15)	<20 (<20 to <20) <sup>a</sup> (44 cases < 20 Du/L)	48	0.78% (0.24–1%) <sup>a</sup>	45	0.98 (–1.89 to 0%) <sup>b</sup>	29
Surgery without C5	143.96 (90.9–306.4) <sup>a</sup> (3 cases < 20 Du/L)	31	10.63% (4.59–23.67%) <sup>a</sup>	27	0.07 (–0.81 to 0.69%)	17
Surgery with C5	<20 (<20–21.5) (3 cases < 20 Du/L)	6	0.52% (0.16–1.66%)	7	–0.35 (–1.98 to –0.11%)	6

**Abbreviations:** Du/L DiviTum units per liter, mRNA Messenger RNA, TK1 Thymidine kinase 1

C1D1: after 28 days of anastrozole (with goserelin if premenopausal) monotherapy

C1D15: 2 weeks following the addition of daily palbociclib to anastrozole on cycle 1, day 1

Surgery without C5: surgery occurred after four cycles (each cycle is 28 days) of palbociclib plus anastrozole, followed by a washout of palbociclib for 3–5 weeks before surgery

Surgery with C5: cycle 5 (10–12 days of palbociclib) was administered immediately prior to surgery

<sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.001$  compared with the preceding time point using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (a value of 20 Du/L was used to impute the measurements of TK1 under the detection limit of 20 Du/L)

<sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared with the preceding time point using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (a value of 20 Du/L was used to impute the measurements of TK1 under the detection limit of 20 Du/L)


using the cut-off point of 2.7% (at which level complete cell cycle arrest was defined): anastrozole-sensitive (C1D1 Ki-67  $\leq$  2.7%), palbociclib-sensitive (C1D1 Ki-67  $>$  2.7% but C1D15 Ki-67  $\leq$  2.7%), and palbociclib-resistant (C1D15 Ki-67  $>$  2.7%). As illustrated in Fig. 4, serum TK levels were significantly reduced at C1D15 in both the anastrozole-sensitive and palbociclib-sensitive groups, followed by recovery at surgery due to palbociclib wash-out. Neither serum TK1 activity nor tumor Ki-67 value significantly changed in the palbociclib-resistant category.

**Concordance in direction of changes between serum TK activity and tumor Ki-67 in response to palbociclib**

To assess palbociclib's effect and evaluate concordance, data were compared between C1D15 and C1D1, as well as between C1D15 and surgery (no cycle 5), in individual patients. The overall concordance rate in the direction of change between serum TK and tumor Ki-67 by palbociclib was 89.8% (53 of 59 patients, 95% CI 79.2% - 96.2%) (Table 3). The sensitivity and specificity of the decrease in serum TK activity in predicting tumor Ki-67 reduction to palbociclib were 94.1% (32 of 34, 95% CI 86.2% - 100%),

and 84% (21 of 25, 95% CI 69.6% - 98.4%), respectively. The  $\kappa$ -statistic was 0.76 ( $p < 0.001$ ), indicating substantial agreement between the two tests. The six discordant instances between the effect of palbociclib on tumor Ki-67 and serum TK1 activity are shown in Fig. 5. Two of the three discordant cases between C1D1 and C1D15 time points had serum TK levels in the 20s (with 20 Du/L being the detection limit) at C1D1 (Fig. 5a and b), and one of the three discordant cases between C1D15 and surgery time points had a minimal change in both tumor Ki-67 and serum TK levels. This observation may have limited the comparison between tumor Ki-67 and serum TK activity changes.

The patient-level BCC between serum TK1 and tumor Ki-67 was 0.46 by both the Bland-Altman method [22] and a bivariate linear mixed effects model [23], indicating a medium correlation between the two. However, the absolute value of serum TK1 activity at C1D15 did not predict the persistent tumor proliferation assessed by Ki-67 staining (Fig. 4 and Additional file 1: Table S1), because all six cases had a serum TK1 activity below the detection limit of 20 Du/L. Because of the significant



**Fig. 4** Serum thymidine kinase 1 (TK1) activity and tumor Ki-67 by time point and Ki-67 response category for individual patients. TK (top panel) and Ki-67 (lower panel) levels in logarithmic scale over time for individual patients are shown in the line graphs. TK activity and Ki-67 from the same patient are denoted by the same line color. Palbociclib (Palbo) significantly reduced serum TK activity 2 weeks after initiation in the anastrozole-sensitive or palbociclib-sensitive group by Ki-67. Palbo washout led to a significant increase in serum TK at the time of surgery. \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ . Filled triangles denote the average of TK or Ki-67 from different patients at the corresponding time points

**Table 3** Concordance between changes in serum thymidine kinase and changes in Ki-67 by palbociclib

	Ki-67 ↓ (N)	Ki-67 ↑ (N)	Total (N)
TK ↓ (n)	32	4 <sup>a</sup>	36
TK ↑ (n)	2 <sup>a</sup>	21	23
Total (n)	34	25	59

TK, Thymidine kinase

<sup>a</sup> Discordant cases

reduction in serum TK1 levels following anastrozole, with serum TK1 levels < 20 Du/L in three patients and only minimally elevated in three other patients (26, 29, and 35 Du/L, respectively), we were unable to assess the association between changes in serum TK1 activity and Ki-67 between C1D1 and C1D15 time points in the palbociclib-resistant category.


**Discussion**

Blood-based biomarkers are of great interest as noninvasive tools for assessing prognosis and in disease monitoring. Circulating tumor cells, exosomes, circulating tumor nucleic acids, and secreted proteins are the most frequently investigated of these potential biomarkers [24]. However, the clinical utility of such biomarkers is often limited by unsatisfactory sensitivity, specificity, and inter-laboratory reproducibility [25]. The DiviTum TK1 activity assay has been demonstrated to be a highly sensitive and reliable measurement tool to measure cell

proliferation [6]. Because TK1 is a cell cycle-regulated enzyme that plays a critical role in DNA synthesis, we investigated whether serum TK1 activity could be used as a surrogate marker of the antiproliferative effect of palbociclib in patients with early-stage ER+/HER2–breast cancer enrolled in the NeoPalAna trial (neoadjuvant palbociclib and anastrozole).

This study demonstrates that serum TK1 activity was significantly reduced after 2 weeks of treatment with palbociclib, and that changes in serum TK1 significantly correlated to changes in tumor Ki-67 proliferation index and tumor TK1 mRNA levels. The overall concordance rate in the direction of changes between serum TK and tumor Ki-67 induced by palbociclib was 89.8% (53 of 59, 95% CI 79.2% -96.2%). A reduction in serum TK1 activity by palbociclib had a sensitivity of 94.1% (32 of 34, 95% CI 86.2% -100%) and a specificity of 84% (21 of 25, 95% CI 69.6% -98.4%) in predicting tumor Ki-67 response in this patient population. To our knowledge, this is the first study suggesting that serum TK1 activity may be a promising noninvasive pharmacodynamic marker of the antiproliferative effect of CDK4/6 inhibitors.

Uncontrolled cell proliferation is one of the key hallmarks of cancer [26]. The value of TK1 as a cell proliferation marker was initially explored using IHC to study human breast cancers [27]. Compared with the expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA),



although expression of both TK1 and PCNA was significantly higher in malignant than in nonmalignant lesions, only TK1 was associated with tumor stage or histological grade [28], suggesting that it is a better proliferative marker than PCNA. Serum TK1 was subsequently investigated as a tumor marker using monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies against TK1, demonstrating significantly higher levels in preoperative breast cancer patients than in healthy volunteers or patients with benign tumors or following curative surgery for breast cancer [29]. A separate study demonstrated that higher serum TK1 levels 3 months after breast cancer surgery were associated with increased risk of both locoregional and distant recurrence [30]. These earlier studies led to further interest in developing serum TK1 assays and investigation into clinical application. The innovative technology of the DiviTum assay enables the measurement of serum TK1 activity with high sensitivity and is compared favorably with other assay platforms [6]. DiviTum serum TK1 activity has been explored as a prognostic marker in solid tumors, including breast cancer [6–9, 21, 31]. Specifically, in a study of 368 women, including 149 healthy blood donors (control), 59 patients with benign breast disease (BBD), and 160 patients with primary breast cancer, serum TK1 activity was significantly higher in those with invasive breast cancer or with proliferative BBD than in those with nonproliferative BBD and healthy control subjects [6]. Furthermore, serum TK1 activity was significantly associated with tumor size, lack of ER and PgR, tumor grade, and molecular subtype [6]. Multivariate analyses adjusting for stage, grade, and HR status demonstrated that serum TK1 was an independent predictor of disease recurrence ( $p = 0.013$ ) [6]. Additional studies demonstrated that TK1 activity was associated with progression-free survival and overall survival in patients with advanced and metastatic breast cancer [21]. However, in few studies only have researchers investigated the clinical utility of serum TK1 activity in monitoring therapeutic response to anti-neoplastic agents.

The particular interest in assessing serum TK1 activity in response to CDK4/6 inhibitors stems from the known cell cycle-inhibitory properties of these agents and their importance in the management of patients with advanced HR+ breast cancer [18, 32]. Three CDK4/6 inhibitors, including palbociclib, ribociclib, and abemaciclib, are in clinical development for the treatment of breast cancer and other solid malignancies. All three CDK4/6 inhibitors have received U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval for the treatment of advanced HR+/HER2– breast cancer (Drugs@FDA; <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/>). However, despite this success, especially in endocrine-naïve disease, resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors eventually develops, and a significant proportion of patients with HR+/HER2– breast cancer fail to respond to CDK4/6 inhibitors in the

second-line setting (>30%) or beyond (>60%) following progression on endocrine therapy [11, 13, 33]. The clinical application of early response marker could lead to beneficial changes in determining the optimal therapeutic approach. Thus, the association between changes in serum TK1 activity and tumor Ki-67 response to palbociclib observed in the NeoPalAna trial provides the foundation for future exploration of the potential predictive nature of serum TK1 activity on response and progression-free survival in patients receiving CDK4/6 inhibitors for metastatic disease.

Our study is limited by its small sample size. However, the study is unique in its ability to obtain concurrent tumor biopsies and serum sample collections for Ki-67 IHC and TK1 activity at serial time points in patients with newly diagnosed, untreated HR+/HER2– breast cancer. The initial treatment with anastrozole monotherapy prior to the addition of palbociclib and the washout of palbociclib prior to surgery allows for the evaluation of dynamic changes in serum TK1 activity.

In this study, serum TK1 activity was not significantly changed following treatment with 28 days of anastrozole (Table 2). This is in spite of the statistically significant reduction in tumor TK1 mRNA at C1D1 (Table 2). In addition, a rise in serum TK1 activity at C1D1 was observed in 3 of the 11 patients in the anastrozole-sensitive group, although the changes were relatively small (from baseline of <20, 38, and 44 Du/L to 34, 60, and 50 Du/L on C1D1, respectively) (Fig. 4). This was unexpected because anastrozole inhibits CDK4/6 indirectly through regulating cyclin D1 [34, 35]. As demonstrated in our initial publication of the NeoPalAna trial, anastrozole regulated the mRNA expression levels of a wide range of genes, including those that were further suppressed by the addition of palbociclib [19]. This is supported by the significant reduction in tumor TK1 mRNA following anastrozole at C1D1 and a reduction of serum TK1 activity in ~50% of patients. One possible explanation for the lack of significant serum TK1 response following anastrozole is the relatively significant contribution of serum TK1 activity from noncancer cells in the early-stage breast cancer setting. In a study in which investigators compared serum TK1 activity between healthy subjects and patients with breast cancer, the median and IQR value for TK1 activity activity was 16 Du/L (IQR 9–33 Du/L) in the healthy blood donors ( $n = 149$ ) and moderately increased in patients with primary breast cancer prior to surgical excision ( $n = 160$ ), with median level of 37 Du/L (IQR 20–92 Du/L), respectively. [6]. Although a statistically significant difference in serum TK1 activity was observed between the two groups, overlapping values exist. As shown in Table 2, the median baseline serum TK1 in patients enrolled in the NeoPalAna trial was 46 Du/L (IQR 25–



73 Du/L), which is similar to that observed in the previous study [6]. Therefore, the antitumor effect of anastrozole may be difficult to translate into serum TK1 changes, because the antiproliferative effect of anastrozole is restricted to the estrogen-dependent breast cancer cells, whereas the nonmalignant cells are otherwise not affected. This could particularly be the case in patients with lower baseline serum TK1 levels. The slight or moderate rise of serum TK1 activity at C1D1 in those three patients could also reflect fluctuations in individuals because TK1 has a short half-life [4]. Therefore, studies including patients with advanced disease in which tumor cells contribute to the majority of the serum TK1 activity are warranted.

Similarly, the discordance between serum TK1 and tumor Ki-67 change in response to palbociclib, as well as, the low serum TK1 activity despite persistent tumor cell proliferation observed in patients in the palbociclib-resistant category, could be explained by the inhibitory effect of palbociclib on CDK4/6 in both cancer and non-cancer cells in this setting of early-stage disease. However, we could not rule out the possibility that serum TK1 activity is reduced only in CDK4/6-dependent cancer cells. Larger studies in patients with advanced disease will ultimately provide further insight and address these possibilities.

## Conclusions

Our study provides the first evidence that serum TK1 activity as early as 2 weeks following CDK4/6 inhibitors is highly correlated with tumor cell proliferation response in patients with early-stage HR+ breast cancer. Future studies investigating the value of serum TK1 activity in monitoring treatment response and survival outcomes for patients with metastatic breast cancers treated with endocrine therapy and CDK4/6 inhibitors are therefore warranted.

## Additional file

**Additional file 1: Table S1.** Serum TK1 activity and tumor Ki67 levels by time point from patients in the palbociclib-resistant category (Ki67 > 2.7% at C1D15) (DOCX 11 kb)

## Abbreviations

BBD: Benign breast disease; BCC: Bivariate correlation coefficient; BrdU: Bromodeoxyuridine; C1D1: Cycle 1, day 1; C1D15: Cycle 1, day 15; CDK: Cyclin-dependent kinase; Du/L: DiviTum units per liter; ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; ER: Estrogen receptor; HER2-: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative; HR+: Hormone receptor-positive; HRPO: Human Research Protection Office; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; IQR: Interquartile range; IRB: Institutional review board; mRNA: Messenger RNA; PCNA: Proliferating cell nuclear antigen; PgR: Progesterone; TK1: Thymidine kinase 1; UAB: University of Alabama at Birmingham

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the patients and families who participated in the NeoPalAna trial and the staff who cared for these patients. We thank the Siteman Cancer Center, the Tissue Procurement Core, the Biostatistics Core, the McDonnell Genome Institute, the Genomic and Pathology Service, and the Anatomical and Molecular Pathology Laboratory. The NeoPalAna trial was funded by Siteman Cancer Center grant P30 CA91842 (to Timothy Eberlein, Section of Endocrine and Oncologic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri), the St. Louis Men's Group Against Cancer (to CXM), a Susan G. Komen Promise Grant (to ME), a National Cancer Institute (NCI) Cancer Clinical Investigator Team Leadership Award (to CXM), and Pfizer (to CXM). CXM is a recipient of the NCI Clinical Investigator Team Leadership Award. ME is a McNair Medical Foundation Scholar and the recipient of a Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas senior investigator award. This work has been presented, in part, at the 2016 San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium (abstract P5-04-02) and the 2017 American Association for Cancer Research Annual Meeting (abstract 2340).

## Funding

The NeoPalAna trial was funded by Siteman Cancer Center grant P30 CA91842 (to Timothy Eberlein, Section of Endocrine and Oncologic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri), the St. Louis Men's Group Against Cancer (to CXM), a Susan G. Komen Promise Grant (to ME), an NCI Cancer Clinical Investigator Team Leadership Award (to CXM), and Pfizer (to CXM).

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the present study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Authors' contributions

NB contributed to interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. ST contributed to the acquisition and analysis of data, drafting of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. NL contributed to the analysis of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. JL contributed to the analysis of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. JH contributed to the acquisition and analysis of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. DWN contributed to the acquisition of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. MPG contributed to the acquisition of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. AF contributed to the acquisition of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. MB contributed to acquisition and analysis of data, drafting and revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. JK contributed to acquisition and analysis of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. MN contributed to acquisition and analysis of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. EMS contributed to acquisition of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. ZG contributed to acquisition of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. KV contributed to acquisition of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. SS contributed to acquisition of data, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. ME contributed to the study concept and design, revision of the manuscript, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. CXM made substantial contributions to the study concept and design, acquisition and interpretation of data, revision of the manuscript for intellectual content, and final manuscript approval and agreed to be

accountable for all aspects of the manuscript and the related research. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The NeoPalAna protocol and informed consent documents were approved by all participating institutions. Participating institutions included the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, MN, USA; the Mayo Clinic in Phoenix/Scottsdale, AZ, USA; the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) (Birmingham, AL, USA); and Washington University in St. Louis (St. Louis, MO, USA). Trial documents were approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board (IRB) for the Rochester and Phoenix/Scottsdale locations (reference number 13x310), the UAB IRB (reference number UAB1430), and the Washington University in St. Louis Human Research Protection Office (HRPO) IRB (reference number 201301106). Upon approval, all participating institutions agreed to follow the Declaration of Helsinki, good clinical practice guidelines, and the applicable parts of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations. Written informed consent was required for enrollment.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

MPG is an advisory board member for Eli Lilly and Company, bioTheragnostics, Rna Diagnostics Inc., and Myriad Genetics. MPG has received research funding from Eli Lilly and Company and Pfizer Inc. AF has received institutional research funding from Pfizer Inc., Genentech, Novartis, Seattle Genetics, Tesaro and GlaxoSmithKline. MB is an employee of Biovica International AB. MN is an employee of Biovica International AB. EMS is a former employee of Biovica International AB. ME has received advisory board member/consulting fees from Pfizer Inc. and royalties from patents on the PAM50-based risk classifier (Prosigna; NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA). CXM has received institutional research funding and advisory board member/consulting fees from Pfizer Inc. and Novartis. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Division of Oncology, Section of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA. <sup>2</sup>Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ 85054, USA. <sup>3</sup>Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA. <sup>4</sup>University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA. <sup>5</sup>Biovica International AB, Uppsala, Sweden. <sup>6</sup>Pelago Bioscience AB, Solna, Sweden. <sup>7</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

Received: 30 June 2017 Accepted: 7 November 2017

Published online: 21 November 2017

#### References

- Welin M, Kosinska U, Mikkelsen NE, Carrot C, Zhu C, Wang L, Eriksson S, Munch-Petersen B, Eklund H. Structures of thymidine kinase 1 of human and mycoplasmic origin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101(52):17970–5.
- Bello LJ. Regulation of thymidine kinase synthesis in human cells. *Exp Cell Res*. 1974;89(2):263–74.
- Munch-Petersen B, Tyrsted G. Induction of thymidine kinases in phytohaemagglutinin-stimulated human lymphocytes. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1977;478(3):364–75.
- Sherley JL, Kelly TJ. Regulation of human thymidine kinase during the cell cycle. *J Biol Chem*. 1988;263(17):8350–8.
- Ke PY, Chang ZF. Mitotic degradation of human thymidine kinase 1 is dependent on the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome-CDH1-mediated pathway. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2004;24(2):514–26.
- Nisman B, Allweis T, Kadouri L, Mali B, Hamburger T, Baras M, Gronowitz S, Peretz T. Comparison of diagnostic and prognostic performance of two assays measuring thymidine kinase 1 activity in serum of breast cancer patients. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2013;51(2):439–47.
- Nisman B, Allweis T, Kadouri L, Maly B, Gronowitz S, Hamburger T, Peretz T. Serum thymidine kinase 1 activity in breast cancer. *Cancer Biomark*. 2010;7(2):65–72.
- Nisman B, Appelbaum L, Yutkin V, Nechushtan H, Hubert A, Uziely B, Podes D, Peretz T. Serum thymidine kinase 1 activity following nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma and radiofrequency ablation of metastases to lung and liver. *Anticancer Res*. 2016;36(4):1791–7.
- Nisman B, Nechushtan H, Biran H, Gantz-Sorotsky H, Peled N, Gronowitz S, Peretz T. Serum thymidine kinase 1 activity in the prognosis and monitoring of chemotherapy in lung cancer patients: a brief report. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2014;9(10):1568–72.
- Stelmach P, Błoński JZ, Wawrzyniak E, Schweiger PE, Wilandt A, Majak PE, Robak T. Prognostic value of thymidine kinase activity in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Postepy Hig Med Dosw (Online)*. 2016;70:1321–30.
- DeMichele A, Clark AS, Tan KS, Heitjan DF, Gramlich K, Gallagher M, Lal P, Feldman M, Zhang P, Colameco C, et al. CDK 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib (PD0332991) in Rb<sup>+</sup> advanced breast cancer: phase II activity, safety, and predictive biomarker assessment. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2015;21(5):995–1001.
- Hortobagyi GN, Stemmer SM, Burris HA, Yap YS, Sonke GS, Paluch-Shimon S, Campone M, Blackwell KL, André F, Winer EP, et al. Ribociclib as first-line therapy for HR-positive, advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(18):1738–48.
- Cristofanilli M, Turner NC, Bondarenko I, Ro J, Im SA, Masuda N, Colleoni M, DeMichele A, Loi S, Verma S, et al. Fulvestrant plus palbociclib versus fulvestrant plus placebo for treatment of hormone-receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer that progressed on previous endocrine therapy (PALOMA-3): final analysis of the multicentre, double-blind, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2016;17(4):425–39.
- Finn RS, Martin M, Rugo HS, Jones S, Im SA, Gelmon K, Harbeck N, Lipatov ON, Walshe JM, Moulder S, et al. Palbociclib and letrozole in advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(20):1925–36.
- Patnaik A, Rosen LS, Tolanev SM, Tolcher AW, Goldman JW, Gandhi L, Papadopoulos KP, Beeram M, Rasco DW, Hilton JF, et al. Efficacy and safety of abemaciclib, an inhibitor of CDK4 and CDK6, for patients with breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and other solid tumors. *Cancer Discov*. 2016;6(7):740–53.
- Sledge Jr GW, Toi M, Neven P, Sohn J, Inoue K, Pivot X, Burdaeva O, Okera M, Masuda N, Kaufman PA, et al. MONARCH 2: abemaciclib in combination with fulvestrant in women with HR+/HER2– advanced breast cancer who had progressed while receiving endocrine therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(25):2875–84.
- Finn RS, Aleshin A, Slamon DJ. Targeting the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK) 4/6 in estrogen receptor-positive breast cancers. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2016;18(1):17.
- O'Leary B, Finn RS, Turner NC. Treating cancer with selective CDK4/6 inhibitors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2016;13(7):417–30.
- Ma CX, Gao F, Luo J, Northfelt DW, Goetz MP, Forero A, Hoog J, Naughton M, Ademuyiwa F, Suresh R, et al. NeoPalAna: neoadjuvant palbociclib, a cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor, and anastrozole for clinical stage 2 or 3 estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2017;23(15):4055–65.
- Ellis MJ, Suman VJ, Hoog J, Goncalves R, Sanati S, Creighton CJ, DeSchryver K, Crouch E, Brink A, Watson M, et al. Ki67 proliferation index as a tool for chemotherapy decisions during and after neoadjuvant aromatase inhibitor treatment of breast cancer: results from the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z1031 Trial (Alliance). *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(10):1061–9.
- Bjohle J, Bergqvist J, Gronowitz JS, Johansson H, Carlsson L, Einbeigi Z, Linderholm B, Loman N, Malmberg M, Soderberg M, et al. Serum thymidine kinase activity compared with CA 15-3 in locally advanced and metastatic breast cancer within a randomized trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2013;139(3):751–8.
- Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistics notes: Calculating correlation coefficients with repeated observations: Part 1—correlation within subjects. *BMJ*. 1995;310(6977):446.
- Luo J, D'Angelo G, Gao F, Ding J, Xiong C. Bivariate correlation coefficients in family-type clustered studies. *Biom J*. 2015;57(6):1084–109.
- Berghuis AM, Koffijberg H, Prakash J, Terstappen LW, IJzerman MJ. Detecting blood-based biomarkers in metastatic breast cancer: a systematic review of their current status and clinical utility. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18(2):363.
- Cappelletti V, Appierto V, Tiberio P, Fina E, Callari M, Daidone MG. Circulating biomarkers for prediction of treatment response. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr*. 2015;2015(51):60–3.

26. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. 2011;144(5):646–74.
27. Aufderklamm S, Todenhofer T, Gakis G, Kruck S, Hennenlotter J, Stenzl A, Schwentner C. Thymidine kinase and cancer monitoring. *Cancer Lett*. 2012; 316(1):6–10.
28. Mao Y, Wu J, Wang N, He L, Wu C, He Q, Skog S. A comparative study: immunohistochemical detection of cytosolic thymidine kinase and proliferating cell nuclear antigen in breast cancer. *Cancer Invest*. 2002; 20(7-8):922–31.
29. He Q, Zou L, Zhang PA, Lui JX, Skog S, Fornander T. The clinical significance of thymidine kinase 1 measurement in serum of breast cancer patients using anti-TK1 antibody. *Int J Biol Markers*. 2000;15(2):139–46.
30. He Q, Fornander T, Johansson H, Johansson U, Hu GZ, Rutqvist LE, Skog S. Thymidine kinase 1 in serum predicts increased risk of distant or loco-regional recurrence following surgery in patients with early breast cancer. *Anticancer Res*. 2006;26(6C):4753–9.
31. Korkmaz T, Seber S, Okutur K, Basaran G, Yumuk F, Dane F, Ones T, Polat O, Madenci OC, Demir G, et al. Serum thymidine kinase 1 levels correlates with FDG uptake and prognosis in patients with non small cell lung cancer. *Biomarkers*. 2013;18(1):88–94.
32. Lim JS, Turner NC, Yap TA. CDK4/6 inhibitors: promising opportunities beyond breast cancer. *Cancer Discov*. 2016;6(7):697–9.
33. Turner NC, Ro J, André F, Loi S, Verma S, Iwata H, Harbeck N, Loibl S, Huang Bartlett C, Zhang K, et al. Palbociclib in hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(3):209–19.
34. Prall OW, Sarcevic B, Musgrove EA, Watts CK, Sutherland RL. Estrogen-induced activation of Cdk4 and Cdk2 during G<sub>1</sub>-S phase progression is accompanied by increased cyclin D1 expression and decreased cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor association with cyclin E-Cdk2. *J Biol Chem*. 1997;272(16):10882–94.
35. Watts CK, Sweeney KJ, Warlters A, Musgrove EA, Sutherland RL. Antiestrogen regulation of cell cycle progression and cyclin D1 gene expression in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 1994;31(1):95–105.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at  
[www.biomedcentral.com/submit](http://www.biomedcentral.com/submit)

